

I object to the building of another runway at Gatwick Airport as the DCO has not adequately addressed the following issues, due to Gatwick Airport 'not accepting' any alternative viewpoint.

- Not Policy – (ISH1) This is a new runway, so does not comply with 'Beyond the Horizons – Making Best Use of Existing Runways'.
- A Carbon Cap – (ISH9) There should be a carbon cap on emissions to ensure that Gatwick Airport's emissions are controlled and that they reduce carbon emissions (greenhouse gases) at the airport. This cap should include Scope 3 emissions, such as waste transportation to third party incinerators, and the increase in flights to and from the airport.
- Aircraft Noise – (ISH90) I support the 0.5 decibel reduction every year in the noise envelope, as proposed by PINS (proposed at ISH9). If Gatwick disagrees, then they obviously don't believe that aircraft will get quieter as detailed in Environmental Statement Addendum Updated Central Case Aircraft Fleet Report Book 5 May 2024. There should be a night ban on flights.
- Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty - It appears that no consideration has been given to overflying ANOBs and places of historic importance and disturbing their enjoyment.
- Air Quality – (ISH9) Gatwick offers nothing more than to 'monitor' air quality. This is not acceptable; air quality standards must be legally binding in the DCO. Gatwick must not be allowed to have it in the local authority 106 agreement. Air quality standards are rising, so the DCO should have stringent mandatory improving targets that must be met by the airport.
- Odours – (ISH9) Safeguards need to be in place to protect residents as there is a serious lack of detail on what odours will be generated by alternative fuels to meet decarbonising requirements.
- Lack of Housing and Amenities – (ISH9 HOUSING FUND) The lack of affordable housing and amenities has not been fully examined or considered. It is not acceptable for Gatwick to dismiss this, as a huge inward migration of workers will impact the existing housing shortage, as well as lack of schools, healthcare and amenities. There should be a housing fund to assist with the volume of construction workers that will migrate to the area to build the new runway, hotels, offices, and road.
- Significant Increase in Waste – I believe there must be some measure for accountability in waste disposal, such as how much waste will be transported on our roads, and to where.
- The Community Fund – This is not fit for purpose, as it has set criteria that do not include areas of impact. It currently focuses on media opportunity events and charities, so does not reflect the impact the airport currently has on communities.